

**Reference:** FOI/EIR 50377 HIOW D9Y0V

**Subject:** NHS Comments on EHDC Planning Applications

*I can confirm that the ICB have now completed the search for the information requested, please see responses below:*

QUESTION	RESPONSE
<p>I am writing to request information under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 and Environmental Information Regulations 2004 regarding consultee responses issued by NHS Hampshire and Isle of Wight in relation to two planning applications submitted to East Hampshire District Council (EHDC):</p> <p>27000/005 – Land to the rear and including Fair Winds, 61 Lymington Bottom Road, Medstead 55318/001 – Land west of Beechlands Road, South Medstead</p> <p>Both responses were uploaded to the EHDC planning portal on 4 June 2024, under the category “Consultee Comment.” They are titled:</p> <p>27000_005-NHS_COMMENTS-1339186.pdf 55318_001-NHS_COMMENTS-1339187.pdf (see attached)</p> <p>The content of both responses appears to be nearly identical, with only the case number and address header present in one and missing in the other.</p> <p>Accordingly, I request the following:</p>	<p>Please see attached correspondence.</p> <p><i>Please note that some information has been redacted as it falls outside the scope of this request.</i></p> <p><i>Please note that staff names have been redacted. The ICB considers this information exempt under Section 40(2) of the Freedom of Information Act</i></p>
<p>1. Full correspondence (emails, covering letters, internal notes, or memos) between NHS Hampshire &amp; Isle of Wight and EHDC relating to either or both applications.</p>	

	<p>2000 as it relates to the personal information of ICB employees. The ICB employees are not of a sufficient senior level where there is reasonable expectation that their name would be released into the public domain. We are not obliged, under Section 40(2) of the Act, to provide information that is the personal information of another person if releasing would contravene any of the principles set out in article 5 of the GDPR. In this instance we believe that the release of this information would contravene the first principle (lawfulness, fairness and transparency) and therefore Section 40(2) is engaged.</p>
<p>2. Copies of any primary care capacity assessments, internal models, or evaluations used in forming these responses, especially relating to Boundaries Surgery and The Watercress Medical Group.</p>	<p>Please see attached spreadsheets.</p>
<p>3. Clarification on whether cumulative development impact in Medstead and Four Marks was evaluated or factored into the response and if so, how.</p>	<p>We consider the cumulative impact of approved developments but cannot consider the cumulative impact of prospective applications given that they may not receive planning permission. In these cases, the impacted surgeries had capacity, and therefore a 'no further action' response was provided.</p>
<p>4. Confirmation of the identity and job title of the NHS representative who authored or signed off the response(s), and to whom they were sent.</p>	<p>Strategic Estates Project Manager agreed both 'No Further Action' responses as suggested by Commercial Development Manager (LPAE Team, working on behalf of HIOW ICB).</p> <p>Responses sent by LPAE Admin, (LPAE Team). See details in 5.</p> <p><i>Please note that staff names are not able to be provided. The ICB considers this information exempt under Section 40(2) of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 as it relates to the personal information of ICB employees. The ICB employees are not of a sufficient senior level where there is reasonable expectation that their name would be released into the public domain. We are not obliged, under Section 40(2) of the Act, to provide information that is the personal information of another person if releasing would contravene any of the principles set out in article 5 of the GDPR. In this instance we believe</i></p>

	<i>that the release of this information would contravene the first principle (lawfulness, fairness and transparency) and therefore Section 40(2) is engaged.</i>
<b>5. Clarification as to whether the responses were submitted directly to EHDC by NHS, or whether they were passed to EHDC in another format (e.g. email) and uploaded to the portal by an EHDC officer.</b>	<p>27000/005: Response sent by email by the LPAE team to EHDC planning on 28/05/2024, EHDC upload to their own portal.</p> <p>5538/001: Response sent by email by the LPAE team to EHDC planning on 28/05/2024, EHDC upload to their own portal.</p>
<b>6. If the responses were generated using a template or standard wording, please provide a copy of that template and any internal guidance on its use.</b>	Please see attached NFA Template.
<b>7. If no site-specific analysis was conducted for either application, or if the responses were issued on a generalised basis without reference to individual application details, please confirm this explicitly.</b>	Site-specific analysis was undertaken for both applications.

*The information provided in this response is accurate as of 6<sup>th</sup> May 2025 and has been authorised for release by Hampshire and Isle of Wight ICB.*